



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/488,028	01/20/2000	Eric Cohen-Solal	US 000015	3849		
75	90 01/17/2003					
Corporate Patent Counsel U S Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER			
			HAILU, TADESSE			
Tarrytown, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2173			
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/488,028

Eric Cohen-Solal et al.

Examiner

Tadesse Hailu

Art Unit 2173



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period f	or Reply					
THE N	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM . THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the veriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) Ne application to become	ONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 9, 200	02				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti	on is non-final.	•			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, and 6-15			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, and 6-15			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held	d in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	l.			
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received	l in App	lication No		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea 	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	·		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.C	J. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensions Som	men /DTA	-413) Paper No(s)		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Application/Control Number: 09488028 Page 2

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment dated 9/9/2002 for the patent application (09/488,028)
- 2. The Drawings and Specification corrections are considered and approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kahn et al., "Real-time Gesture Recognition with the Perseus System."

The present invention is directed to video camera targeting systems that locate and acquire targets. Similarly, Kahn et al (Kahn) is also directed to a video camera targeting systems.

Consequently, the claimed subject matter is also disclosed by Kahn.

Regarding claim 1, Kahn discloses a method of locating an image. The method includes recognizing when and where people point to objects and identifying and locating the object pointed to (see 2 The Pointing Task section). Once the object is located, certain description and properties/parameters (or characteristics) information of the region (target location) are measured

and stored in the Object Representations (OR) (see 3.3 Object Representations). The locate method then places a marker on the region parameterized with a tacking function. In the end the camera (Fig. 1) is directed to the sensed object.

Claim 12 recites several similar feature as claim 1 except for "scanning and area..." and "storing information...". Kahn further discloses these limitations. Kahn discloses the area the finger points is examined (or scanned), such as through camera, and if an object is found it is identified (see *The Pointing Task* section, and Fig. 6). As mentioned in the rejection of claim 1 above, storing the properties/parameters information of the region (target location) are measured and stored in the Object Representations (OR) (see 3.3 Object Representations section).

Claim 13 recites a method of aiming at a target. Kahn discloses inputting a pointing gesture indicating a direction of the target. Inputting further information of the target such as the properties/parameters (or characteristics) information of said region (target location). Directing a camera at said target using the properties/parameters information of said region (target location) and/or natural language description command (also see 3.6 *Long Term Visual memory* section).

Claim 14 recites a method of acquiring a target. Kahn, as described in the above claims, discloses inputting through sensing a gesture indicating a direction of said target (Fig. 6). Then, directing (or orienting) the camera (Fig. 1) with respect to said target (see 3.7 *Visual Routines* section).

Regarding Claims 2 and 7, the features of these claims are discussed in the rejection of the above claims.

Art Unit: 2173

, 7

Claim 4 calls for receiving speech from said human operator. Kahn teaches this limitation (see 3.6, Long Term Visual Memory).

Claim 6 calls for speech and machine sensor assisting in locating said target. Kahn also teaching that in addition to sensing a gesture indicating a direction, he also suggest the use of speech in collaboration with gesture in assisting locating a target (see sections 3.6-3.7.1).

Claim 15 calls orienting a camera (see the rejection given to claim 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al., "Real-time Gesture Recognition with the Perseus System" in view of Mark Billinghurst "Put That Where?"

While Kahn's "Real-time Gesture Recognition with the Perseus System" storing target object representations and Kahn further describes Object Representations (OR) are not static representations, the data they contain changes over time (see section 3.3). But Kahn fail to suggest that object representations including natural language description representations or voice input are stored in a table, <u>look-up table format</u> or in claim language "processing said voice

Application/Control Number: 09488028

Page 5

Art Unit: 2173

information through a look-up table corresponding said speech to search criteria for use with at said least one sensor" is not shown. However, Billinghurst 's Put That Where?" stores speech information in parallel with gesture information in a look-up a table for later processing (such as searching) (table 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the speech modality interaction stored in a table format of Billinghurst with gesture interaction of Kahn because the use of speech interaction in association with gesture modality will enhance Kahn's system. Furthermore as suggested by Billinghurst (page 61) hand gesturing and speech complement each other, and a spoken vocabulary has a more standard interpretation than gesture.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Tadesse Hailu*, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2799. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 8:30 ET. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, *John Cabeca*, can be reached at (703) 308-3116 Art Unit 2173 CPK 2-4A51.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Tadesse Hailu

18 December 2002

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400